# North Herts District Council Audit Committee Progress Report 18 September 2014 

## Recommendation

Members are recommended to:

- Note the Internal Audit Progress Report for the period to 22 August 2014;
- Approve the amendments to the Audit Plan as at 22 August 2014; and
- Agree removal of implemented high priority recommendations.
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## 1. Introduction and Background

## Purpose of Report

1.1 This report details:
a) Progress made by the Shared Internal Audit Service (SIAS) in delivering the Council's Annual Audit Plan for 2014-15 as at 22 August 2014.
b) Findings for the period 7 June 2014 to 22 August 2014 for audits assessed as 'Limited', or 'No' assurance (there were none in the period).
c) Proposed amendments to the approved 2014-15 Audit Plan.
d) Implementation status of previously agreed high priority audit recommendations and to agree removal of completed actions.
e) An update on performance management information as at 22 August 2014.

## Background

1.2 The 2014-15 Annual Audit Plan was approved by the Finance, Audit \& Risk Committee on 19 March 2014.
1.3 The Finance, Audit \& Risk Committee receives periodic updates against the Annual Internal Audit Plan, the most recent of which was brought to this Committee on 23 June 2014.
1.4 The work of Internal Audit is required to be reported to a Member Body so that the Council has an opportunity to review and monitor an essential component of corporate governance and gain assurance that its internal audit provision is fulfilling its statutory obligations. It is considered good practice that progress reports also include proposed amendments to the agreed annual audit plan.

## 2. Audit Plan Update

Delivery of Audit Plan and Key Audit Findings
2.1 As at 22 August 2014, $25 \%$ of the 2014-15 Audit Plan days had been delivered. Appendix A provides a status update on each individual project within the audit plan.
2.2 The following 2013-14 reports and assignments have been issued since 6 June (cut-off date for the SIAS Update Report for 23 June 2014 FAR Committee):

| Audit Title | Date of <br> Issue | Assurance <br> Level | Number of <br> Recommendations |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Payroll | June <br> 2014 | Substantial | Two medium, two <br> merits attention |
| Payroll (Serco <br> BACS controls) | July <br> 2014 | Moderate | One high, three <br> medium, two merits <br> attention |
| Contract <br> Management | July <br> 2014 | Moderate | Ten medium, one <br> merits attention |
| Letchworth <br> Contract | June | Substantial | One medium, one <br> merits attention |

All 2013-14 audits have now been finalised.
The following 2014-15 reports have been finalised since the last FAR Committee. The current status of all 2014-15 audits is noted in Appendix A.

| Audit Title | Date of <br> Issue | Assurance <br> Level | Number of <br> Recommendations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Risk Management | July <br> 2014 | Full | One merits attention |
| New banking <br> Contract | July <br> 2014 | Substantial | Two medium, three <br> merits attention |
| Area Committee <br> Grant Matter | June <br> 2014 | Not <br> Assessed | Two non-prioritised <br> recommendations <br> were made |
| NDR Avoidance | August <br> 2014 | Moderate | One high, two merits <br> attention |
| Debt <br> Management - <br> Rental income | August <br> 2014 | Substantial | One medium |

## High Priority Recommendations

2.3 Members will be aware that a Final Audit Report is issued when it has been agreed by management; this includes an agreement to implement the recommendations that have been made. It is SIAS's responsibility to bring to Members' attention the implementation status of high priority recommendations; it is the responsibility of Officers to implement the recommendations by the agreed date.
2.4 The standard template schedule attached at Appendix B shows the implementation status of previously agreed high priority audit recommendations.

## Proposed Audit Plan Amendments

2.5 No changes to the 2014-15 Audit Plan are brought to this Committee.

## Performance Management

## Reporting of Audit Plan Delivery Progress

2.6 At the meeting of FAR on 5 December 2013 it was agreed that the method for reporting on audit plan delivery progress be based on the judgement of the SIAS management team and representing the best estimate as to a reasonable expectation of progress on the audit plan. This approach is now reflected in the figures at 2.9 (below).
2.7 To help the Committee in assessing the current situation in terms of progress against the projects in the audit plan we have provided an overall progress update in the table below. In addition, for 2014/15 we have agreed formal start dates with management and have allocated resources accordingly; details can be found in Appendix C. This is designed to help facilitate smoother level of audit plan delivery throughout the year.

| Completed - Draft or Final report has been issued (6) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Confidence level in completion of this work - Full |  |
| New Banking Contract | Risk Management |
| Area Committee - Grant | Debt Recovery - Rental Income |
| Matter | Health \& Safety Matters <br> (Contractors' Statutory <br> Requirements) |
| NDR Avoidance |  |

Fieldwork currently being carried out or in Quality Review (3)
Confidence level in completion of this work - Full

| Business Continuity | Procurement Review |
| :--- | :--- |
| New Vision for North Herts |  |


$\left.$| Scope and Start date agreed with Management - preliminary work <br> has begun (18) |
| :--- |
| Confidence level in completion of this work - Good - resources have <br> been allocated to these activities by SIAS and management has <br> agreed the way forward; dates are planned in diaries; all pieces are <br> considered by SIAS to be relatively straightforward |
| Localism Planning Reforms | | Data Protection / Freedom of |
| :--- |
| Information Requests | \right\rvert\, | Disabled Facilities | Payroll Contract Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| Customer Service Centre | IT Change Control |
| Electronic Planning Register | Homelessness |


| Vacancy Management | Main Accounting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Debtors | Creditors |
| Treasury Management | Payroll |
| Council Tax | Non-Domestic Rates |
| Benefits \& Rent Allowances | Asset Management |

## Formal start dates not yet agreed (1)

Confidence level in completion of this work - Moderate - resources have been allocated by SIAS but dates have not yet been planned in diaries; generally these audits are more complex and will need good engagement with management to ensure delivery

## Audit

Benchmarking of Risk
Registers \& AGS

Status Update
Joint Review - Start

## Deferred (0)

None

Summary - 22 August 2014

| Status | No of Audits at this <br> Stage | \% of Total Audits (28) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Draft / Final | 6 | $21 \%$ |
| Currently in <br> Progress | 3 | $11 \%$ |
| Start Date <br> Agreed | 18 | $64 \%$ |
| Yet to be planned | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Deferred | 0 | $0 \%$ |

2.8 Annual performance indicators and associated targets were approved by the SIAS Board in 2011.
2.9 As at 22 August 2014, actual performance for North Herts against the targets that can be monitored in year was as shown in the table below.

| Performance Indicator | Annual <br> Target | Profiled <br> Target to <br> 22 August <br> 2014 | Actual to <br> 22 August <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Planned Days - percentage <br> of actual billable days against <br> planned chargeable days <br> completed (excluding unused <br> contingency) | $95 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ |


| 2. Planned Projects - <br> percentage of actual completed <br> projects to draft report stage <br> against planned completed <br> projects | $95 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Client Satisfaction with <br> Conduct of the Audit - <br> percentage of client satisfaction <br> questionnaires returned at <br> 'satisfactory' level | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 4. Number of High Priority <br> Audit Recommendations <br> agreed | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

2.10 In addition, the performance targets listed below are annual in nature. Performance against these targets will be reported on in the 2014-15 Head of Assurance's Annual Report:

- 5. External Auditors' Satisfaction - the Annual Audit Letter should formally record whether or not the External Auditors are able to rely upon the range and the quality of SIAS' work.
- 6. Annual Plan - prepared in time to present to the March meeting of each Audit Committee. If there is no March meeting then the plan should be prepared for the first meeting of the financial year.
- 7. Head of Assurance's Annual Report - presented at the Audit Committee's first meeting of the civic year.


## 2014-15 SIAS Audit Plan

| AUDITABLE AREA | LEVEL OF ASSURANCE | RECS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUDIT } \\ & \text { PLAN } \\ & \text { DAYS } \end{aligned}$ | LEAD AUDITOR ASSIGNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BILLABLE } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { COMPLETED } \end{aligned}$ | STATUS/COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H | M | MA |  |  |  |  |
| Key Financial Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Accounting System |  |  |  |  | 12 | PwC |  | Start date agreed |
| Debtors |  |  |  |  | 12 | PwC |  | Start date agreed |
| Creditors |  |  |  |  | 12 | PwC |  | Start date agreed |
| Treasury Management |  |  |  |  | 8 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Payroll |  |  |  |  | 12 | PwC |  | Start date agreed |
| Council Tax |  |  |  |  | 12 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| NDR |  |  |  |  | 10 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Housing \& Council Tax Benefits |  |  |  |  | 14 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Asset Management |  |  |  |  | 12 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Debt Management - Rental Income | Substantial | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | Yes | 3 | Final Report Issued |
| Operational Audits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Protection \& FOI |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes | 0.5 | Start date agreed |
| Localism (Planning Reforms) |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Overarching Risk Mgt | Full | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | Yes | 15 | Final Report Issued |
| CSC |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Health \& Safety Matters (Contractors' Statutory Requirements) |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes | 13 | Draft Report Issued |
| Business Continuity |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes | 5.5 | In fieldwork |
| Homelessness |  |  |  |  | 12 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| The Way We Do Things Project Streams |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes | 1.5 | In planning |


| AUDITABLE AREA | LEVEL OF ASSURANCE | RECS |  |  | AUDIT PLAN DAYS | LEAD AUDITOR ASSIGNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BILLABLE } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { COMPLETED } \end{aligned}$ | STATUS/COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H | M | MA |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled Facilities - Use of Single Agent |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| New Banking Contract | Substantial | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | Yes | 15 | Final Report Issued |
| Vacancy Mgt |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Area Committee Grant Matter | Not Assessed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Yes | 4 | Final Report Issued |
| Procurement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Procurement |  |  |  |  | 20 | Yes | 4 | In planning |
| Payroll Contract Management |  |  |  |  | 12 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Joint Reviews |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benchmarking of Risk Registers and |  |  |  |  | 2 | No |  |  |
| NDR Avoidance | Moderate | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | Yes | 10 | Final Report Issued |
| IT Audits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IT Change Control |  |  |  |  | 15 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Electronic Planning Register |  |  |  |  | 12 | Yes |  | Start date agreed |
| Election Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Election Support |  |  |  |  | 2 | Yes | 2 | Completed |
| Strategic Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Head of Internal Audit Opinion |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | Completed |
| Audit Committee |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 4 | On-going |
| Client liaison meetings |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | On-going |
| External Audit Liaison |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | On-going |


| AUDITABLE AREA | LEVEL OF ASSURANCE | RECS |  |  | AUDIT PLAN DAYS | LEAD AUDITOR ASSIGNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BILLABLE } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { COMPLETED } \end{aligned}$ | STATUS/COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H | M | MA |  |  |  |  |
| Progress Monitoring |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 4 | On-going |
| SIAS Development |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | Completed |
| 2015/16 Audit Planning |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | Start date agreed |
| Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingency |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 13-14 Projects Requiring Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Management | Substantial | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | Final report issued |
| Housing \& Council Tax Benefits | Substantial | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | Final report issued |
| Payroll | Substantial | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | Final report issued |
| Payroll (Serco BACS controls) | Moderate | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | Final report issued |
| Managing Change | Not Assessed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | Final report issued |
| Anti-Fraud Matters | Substantial | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | Final report issued |
| Subsidised Services | Substantial | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | Final report issued |
| Contract Management | Moderate | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | Final report issued |
| Letchworth Contract | Substantial | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | Final report issued |
| Disaster Recovery | Moderate | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | Final report issued |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - North Herts D.C. |  |  |  |  | 400 |  | 100.5 |  |


| No. | Report Title / Date of Issue | Recommendation | Management Response | Responsible Officer | $\underset{\text { Date }}{\text { Implementation }}$ | History of Management Comments | SIAS Comment at 22 August 2014 | Status of Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Document <br> Retention (March 2014) | We recommend that a formal plan is defined and agreed with clear ownership, specified actions and appropriate deadlines so that, immediately after the implementation of the Retention and Destruction Module, all personal data in the 'Information at Work' system that are no longer required are identified and deleted together with information that exceeds the timescales in the corporate Retention | Produce a Management Plan showing clear ownership, specified actions and appropriate deadlines for removing the out of date data stored within the 'Information at Work' system. | Vic Godfrey ICT Manager | February 2014 | ICT Manager Update - August 2014 <br> The upgrades software is in Test at the moment and users across the authority are actively carrying out testing of their filing systems to ensure the changes are working correctly. <br> The planned upgrade into LIVE has been booked to start on Friday $19^{\text {th }}$ September which includes the Data Destruction Module. Testing of this module has been successful to date within the Test Environment and staff are continually testing. The Data Destruction | In progress | Carry Forward to December FAR |


| No. | Report Title / Date of Issue | Recommendation | Management Response | Responsible Officer | $\underset{\text { Date }}{\text { Implementation }}$ | History of Management Comments | SIAS Comment at 22 August 2014 | Status of Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Schedule. |  |  |  | Module is all part of the project sign off plan and my staff are currently working on reports to identify the records and the amount of records that will be deleted so we have some evidence to show. |  |  |
| 2. | IT Disaster Recovery (April 2014) | We recommend there is a full comparison of the NHDC Business Continuity Plan alongside the current IT Disaster Recovery capabilities to ensure the same expectations/realitie s are reflected in both so that the true business | Victor Godfrey to work with the Emergency Planning Officer and Corporate Risk Manager to ensure a full comparison is completed between the individual Service Plans and the HP Business Continuity | Victor Godfrey <br> ICT Manager Derek Wootton Emergency Planning Officer Fiona Timms Corporate Risk Manager | June 2014 | ICT Manager Update - August 2014 <br> I have had several meetings with the Emergency Planning Officer and Risk Manager and reviewed what the current I.T DR Contract covers. The Emergency Planning Officer is currently conducting an Annual Review with ALL | In progress | Carry <br> Forward to <br> December <br> FAR |


| No. | Report Title / Date of Issue | Recommendation | Management Response | Responsible Officer | Implementation Date | History of Management Comments | SIAS <br> Comment at 22 August 2014 | Status of Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | service risks are clearly understood by all parties in the event of an incident occurring. | contract to review current BCP's and ensure all parties are aware of what realistically can be achieved. |  |  | Service Areas to ensure they are fully away of the current I.T DR Contract and the timetable for recovery at the same time ensuring their BCP are current. |  |  |
| 3. | BACS <br> Processing (March 2014) | Investigate the possibility of output files from source systems being produced as 'read only'. <br> As an interim measure invoke spot checks of payment amounts above an agreed threshold. | An IT processing request was sent to IT on the $11^{\text {th }}$ March requesting that files be produced as read only. | Rachel Cooper Payments Manager | Immediate | Completed on $31^{\text {st }}$ July. IT have now set up a sweep to pick up the output file from the source system and pull it directly into Paybase. The approver no longer has to browse and pick up a file from the $g$ drive, so the file is unable to be accessed outside the source system. | Implemented | Completed - to be removed |



| No. | Report Title / Date of Issue | Recommendation | Management Response | Responsible Officer | Implementation Date | History of Management Comments | SIAS Comment at 22 August 2014 | Status of Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the Serco Service Delivery Manager should be subject to agreement by Serco and the Council. A clear process for submission of the forms should be agreed and documented by the Council. <br> The Council should request an annual ISAE 3402 assurance report on controls at a third party service organisation from Serco to obtain assurance that the controls over the BACS payroll system are | are all agreed between both parties <br> Requested via email to Phil Thorne, Serco on 12/05/2014 | Corporate HR Manager | Immediate and completed | None | Implemented | Completed - to be removed |


| No. | Report Title / Date of Issue | Recommendation | Management Response | Responsible Officer | Implementation Date | History of Management Comments | SIAS Comment at 22 August 2014 | Status of Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | operational and effective. This should include assurance over the changes made to the payroll system, as well as the segregation of duties maintained at Serco. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Non- <br> Domestic Rates Avoidance | A formal process should be created regarding how the authority should deal with suspected NDR avoidance. This should include a requirement to document cases in a manner that would allow all officers/manageme nt to view the | Agreed | Revenues Manager | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { October } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | Not applicable Implementation date not yet reached. | Outstanding | Carry Forward to December FAR. |


| No. | Report Title <br> / Date of <br> Issue | Recommendation | Management <br> Response | Responsible <br> Officer | Implementation <br> Date | History of <br> Management <br> Comments | SIAS <br> 22 August <br> 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | details, in order to <br> assist future cases. <br> This should also <br> include a procedure <br> detailing evidence <br> required in order to <br> satisfy legislative <br> requirements to <br> enable the authority <br> to grant appropriate <br> relief. |  |  | Status of <br> Progress |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Once established <br> this should be <br> cascaded to all <br> relevant staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Apr | May | Jun | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Mgt | NDR <br> Avoidance |  | Business Continuity | New Vision for North Herts | Procurement Review | Homelessness | Main <br> Financial Systems 9 Reviews | Payroll Contract Management | Disabled Facilities | Electronic Planning Register |  |
| Health \& Safety Contractor Compliance |  |  | New Banking Contract | Data <br> Protection | Vacancy Management |  | Localism <br> Planning Reforms | Customer Service Centre | IT <br> Change Control |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Debt <br> Management Rental Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

